

**Request for Proposals #04-26;
Construction Quality Assurance Services for the Next Generation Transit Facility**

**Addendum No. 2
08/20/2026**

Questions & Answer

1. Has the Design-Build contractor been selected for the project? If so, could you please identify the project team? If not, what is the anticipated timeline for contractor selection?

No. It is anticipated that the Design-Build Contractor will be awarded in the Spring of 2027. This timeline is an estimate only and is subject to change based on the procurement process, approvals, and other factors beyond the Authority's control.

2. What is the anticipated timeline for submission of the final design plans to Yuba County?

The anticipated timeline for submission of final plans is estimated at 6 months after the design-build contractor is awarded. This timeline is an estimate only and is subject to change based on the procurement process, approvals, and other factors beyond the Authority's control.

3. What is the anticipated construction start date?

The anticipated construction start date is estimated at 8 – 10 months after the design-build contractor is awarded. This timeline is an estimate only and is subject to change based on the procurement process, approvals, and other factors beyond the Authority's control.

4. The RFP states, "*Consultant shall fully understand the project's environmental documents and requirements. Consultant shall perform environmental monitoring services to support the construction of the project.*" However, no environmental documents were included with the RFP. Are these documents available? The draft Conditions of Approval provided for the CUP do not mention any specific environmental requirements beyond the standard SWPPP and FRAQMD requirements.

Environmental documents are posted on the Yuba-Sutter Transit solicitation website <https://www.yubasuttertransit.com/current-requests-for-proposals>

5. The draft Conditions of Approval provided for the CUP reference a traffic study related to a potential traffic signal, and the plans provided indicate a traffic signal. Has the traffic study been completed, and if so, can it be provided?

The traffic study has not been started or completed.

6. Is the Design-Build team responsible for the traffic signal design and installation as part of this project?

Yes.

7. The RFP states that the Resident Engineer must, at a minimum, *“have five (5) years of experience as a Construction Manager and/or Resident Engineer on similar FTA-funded transit projects, with knowledge of FTA regulatory and funding requirements and FTA procedures and requirements on a project-specific basis.”* Would experience on federally funded projects administered through FHWA/Caltrans and HUD/CDBG be considered acceptable in lieu of experience on FTA-funded transit projects?

Yes.

8. The RFP states, *“Consultant will provide and maintain EarthCam (or equivalent) system live-streaming, time-lapse imaging construction cameras to record and display real-time video of construction activities at the project site.”* Will power and internet service be available at the site to support this system?

Consultant shall be responsible for providing any power, internet connectivity, or other infrastructure necessary to operate the required construction camera system.

9. Additionally, will the Design-Build team maintain an on-site office that could support the live-streaming system, or will it need to operate as a standalone installation?

The live-streaming system should be assumed to operate as a standalone installation. The Design-Builder's on-site office and associated utilities should not be relied upon for power, internet connectivity, or other system requirements.

10. Can you please confirm whether an on-site office is required for the Construction Quality (CQ) team, or if having offices within five miles of the project site would satisfy this requirement?

The Consultant may maintain an office within 5 miles of the project site to satisfy this requirement.

11. *"Section 5, Consultant's Personnel Qualifications, CM/RE item (f) requires the CM/RE to be 'licensed as an architect, engineer, or Design Builder in the State of California.' California does not issue a 'Design Builder' license. Please confirm that the Authority intends this to mean a licensed Architect, registered Professional Engineer, or licensed General Contractor consistent with Gov. Code §4525 and §4529.5 (as stated in Section 1.0 and Section 4.A), and clarify which specific California license(s) satisfy this requirement for the individual CM/RE."*

The CM/RE Consultant shall be licensed in the State of California as an architect, engineer, or general contractor in accordance with Gov. Code §4525 and §4529.5.

The CM/RE shall hold a current California license as a licensed architect, Professional Civil Engineer, or Class A or Class B General Contractor, as applicable to the services performed, in accordance with California Government Code §§ 4525 and 4529.5.

12. *"Section 2.17 requires proposers to be 'licensed as an Architect, Engineer or General Contractor in accordance with the laws of the State of California, County of Yuba, and Linda.' Architect, Professional Engineer, and General Contractor licenses are issued solely by the State of California (California Architects Board, BPELSG, and CSLB). Yuba County does not issue a general business license for CM/consulting firms in its unincorporated area, and Linda is an unincorporated community with no licensing authority. Please confirm that possession of the applicable California state license(s) satisfies Section 2.17, and identify any specific Yuba County permit or local registration (if any) the Authority requires beyond state licensure and DIR registration."*

See answer 12. Applicable California state licensure and DIR registration are required. Consultants are responsible for obtaining any applicable local business licenses, permits, or registrations required to conduct business in Yuba County or Linda.